

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 199 666

CS 005 957

AUTHOR Spiro, Rand J.; Taylor, Barbara M.
TITLE On Investigating Children's Transition from Narrative to Expository Discourse: The Multidimensional Nature of Psychological Text Classification. Technical Report No. 195.
INSTITUTION Bolt, Beranek and Newman, Inc., Cambridge, Mass.: Illinois Univ., Urbana. Center for the Study of Reading.
SPONS AGENCY Alfred P. Sloan Foundation, New York, N.Y.: National Inst. of Education (DHEW), Washington, D.C.
PUB DATE Dec 80
CONTRACT 400-76-0016
GRANT 79-4-8
NOTE 43p.
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC02 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS Children; *Cognitive Processes; *Discourse Analysis; Elementary Education; *Reading Difficulties; Reading instruction; Reading Processes; *Reading Research; Research Methodology
IDENTIFIERS *Expository Text; *Text Classification

ABSTRACT

Conventional wisdom holds that many children experience difficulty when they first read expository material after spending most of their reading time with simple narratives. Unfortunately, there is little available data bearing on this belief, nor is it clear how one would go about testing the claim. The labels "narrative" and "exposition" in fact reflect conglomerates of characteristics that affect text processing. However, these characteristics are not found exclusively in one type of text or the other--a narrative can possess many of the characteristics of exposition and vice versa. If children do tend to have greater difficulty with expository text, it is because expository text tends to have certain traits that produce heightened psychological processing difficulty. Perhaps the traditional text-type classification should be abandoned in the study of reading difficulty. Instead, texts should be classified as a function of the characteristics they possess that influence processing. A multidimensional psychological classification scheme of texts could be used to identify children's text processing problems, to investigate the cause of those problems, and to bring about the appropriate instructional changes. (Author/FL)

* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
* from the original document. *

ED199666

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

- X This document has been reproduced as
received from the person or organization
originating it.
Minor changes have been made to improve
reproduction quality.
• Points of view or opinions stated in this docu-
ment do not necessarily represent official NIE
position or policy.

CENTER FOR THE STUDY OF READING

Technical Report No. 195

ON INVESTIGATING CHILDREN'S TRANSITION FROM
NARRATIVE TO EXPOSITORY DISCOURSE:
THE MULTIDIMENSIONAL NATURE
OF PSYCHOLOGICAL TEXT CLASSIFICATION

Rand J. Spiro Barbara M. Taylor
University of Illinois University of Minnesota

December 1980

University of Illinois
at Urbana-Champaign
51 Gerty Drive
Champaign, Illinois 61820

Bolt Beranek and Newman Inc.
50 Moulton Street
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138

The research reported herein was supported in part by the National Institute of Education under Contract No. HEW-NIE-C-400-76-0116 to the Center for the Study of Reading, and in part by Grant AP Sloan Fdn #79-4-8 to Yale University.

Portions of this paper were written while the first author was on leave at the Yale University Cognitive Science Program. The gracious hospitality of Roger Schank and Robert Abelson is much appreciated.

The authors gratefully acknowledge helpful discussions with Robert Kantor, Jaña Mason, Andrew Ortony, David Pearson, David Rumelhart, and Nancy Stein. Comments by Larry Guthrie and Peter Johnston on an earlier version of this paper were also very useful.

5805957

EDITORIAL BOARD

Peter Johnston, Chairperson

Roberta Ferrara

Jim Mosenthal

Scott Fertig

Ann Myers

Nicholas Hastings

Andee Rubin

Asghar Iran-Nejad

William Tirre

Jill LaZansky

Paul Wilson

Peter Winograd

Michael Nivens, Editorial Assistant

Abstract

Conventional wisdom holds that many children experience difficulty when they are first asked to read expository material after spending most of their previous reading time with elementary narratives. Unfortunately, there is little available data bearing on this common belief. Furthermore, it is not clear how one would go about testing the claim. The labels "narrative" and "exposition" really reflect actuarially prevalent conglomerates of characteristics that affect text processing. However, these characteristics are not found exclusively in one type of text or the other; a narrative can possess many of the typical characteristics of exposition and vice versa. If children do tend to have greater difficulty with expository text, it is because expository text tends to have certain characteristics that produce heightened psychological processing difficulty. Accordingly, an argument is presented for abandoning traditional text-type classifications when they are used as undecomposed variables in the study of reading difficulty. Instead, individual texts should be classified as a function of the characteristics they possess that influence processing. A schematic outline of an approach to the multidimensional psychological classification of texts is presented. Finally, issues in the application of the classification scheme to identifying children's text processing problems, investigating the cause of those problems, and effecting appropriate instructional change are discussed.

On Investigating Children's Transition from
Narrative to Expository Discourse:

The Multidimensional Nature of Psychological Text Classification

In recent years, considerable research attention has been directed to the psychology of prose processing (see Goetz & Armbruster, 1978; Reder, 1978; Spiro, 1980, for reviews). However, most of this work, especially that investigating children's performance, has focused on the comprehension and recall of narrative (Baker & Stein, 1978). Our resulting lack of knowledge about the way children process expository material (e.g., content area texts) is particularly unfortunate given that reading such material becomes so increasingly prominent a part of school experience after the third grade or so. Although we have been able to locate only a few preliminary empirical studies comparing children's performance on narrative and expository material (e.g., Dixon, 1979), the ubiquity of the observation that children find the latter more difficult than the former (Baker & Stein, 1978; Freedle & Hale, 1979; Hall, Ribovich, & Ramig, 1979; Harris & Smith, 1976; Lapp & Flood, 1978) seems sufficient warrant for addressing why that might be the case.

The present paper is primarily concerned with ambiguities that result from traditional comparative analyses of text types. To take one example, hypotheses about why children have greater difficulty with expository than with narrative prose frequently invoke some variation on a "fit to prior experience" theme (e.g., Harris & Smith, 1976). That is, narrative is

easier because children have more linguistic experience with narrative accounts suggest many questions experiences with stories facilitate because children learn how narrative "story schema" is available) or by processing mechanisms to deal with of the more familiar narrative form (e.g., processes for encoding and retrieving temporally organized information)? Or does form follow function, with the common superficial story structures really reflecting the fact that stories usually deal with people and their goals while expository structures must adapt to a greater variety of topics? Children's stories might then be easier not because of familiarity with the form, but rather familiarity related to their content. What aspects of relative familiarity relate to intrinsic rather than actuarial characteristics of narrative and exposition? Is it, in fact, even the case that children have more experience with narrative than expository forms? Intuitively, it seems that children hear stories less often than they hear responses to questions like "Why is the sky blue?". Similar questions could be addressed to the other hypotheses offered to account for the difficulty of exposition, e.g., ideational density and complexity (Aulls, 1978; Baker & Stein, 1978; Freedle & Hale, 1979; Hall et al., 1979); for one thing, differences in ideational difficulty must be actuarial rather than intrinsic--every story written by Kafka involves more complex ideas than You and Ohio--the important question

is what makes an idea difficult, and difficult in what way.

Our contention is that the ambiguity involved in interpreting differences in text difficulty has a very basic origin: the text classification scheme itself. As long as greatly diverse texts are lumped in overly subsuming categories like exposition and narrative, uniform conclusions regarding the nature of processing difficulties are not likely to be forthcoming. For one thing, it is difficult to classify texts within traditional taxonomies; there is no uniform agreement on what constitutes a narrative versus an expository text. For example, Freedle and Hale's (1979) expository passage, so classified because of its hypothetical nature (exemplified by the use of modal auxiliaries of theoretical possibility--"[to] get his stubborn horse into the barn...the farmer can go into the barn and hold out some sugar..."), would be a narrative in Brewer's (1980) classification scheme because of its underlying temporal organization. Despite the fact that many frequently occurring psychological properties of narrative and expository texts, respectively, can be identified, it can be demonstrated that any proposed psychological characteristic of exposition or narrative can be represented in varying degrees (or not at all) or be of varying importance for specific instances of both types of text. Structural familiarity is an example. Although many expository structures are less well known to children than story structures, some, e.g., lists, are relatively familiar. As Freedle and Hale (1979) and Stein (in Center for the Study of Reading, Note 1) have pointed out, there are similarities between the structures of even more conventional exposition

and narrative. For example, goals frequently have similar structural importance in exposition and narrative. Given such problems of partial overlap between the text types, is it reasonable to question whether a particular expository text would still be relatively difficult if those nonintrinsic properties typically found in exposition and associated with processing difficulty were absent and built into a narrative instead? If not, attention should not then be devoted to the properties and not to the traditionally classified text form that frequently but not necessarily possesses those properties? Since many correlated psychological properties are subsumed under the conventional text-type labels, the resultant confounding of possible causes of processing difficulty makes identification of specific difficulty loci methodologically problematic and conclusions expressed generally for a given text-type likely not to be replicated from text to text as underlying dimensions vary in uncontrolled mix.

Our primary thesis, then, can be expressed as follows. Difficulties children have with texts are attributable to specific psychological properties of the texts (and the contexts in which they are encountered). General labels of text-types only represent actuarially common (but not always present) conglomerates of text properties. Since whatever power a text-type label possesses for the prediction of text processing difficulty inheres in the specific and confounded dimensions the label imperfectly substitutes for, our recommendation is a simple one: Abandon the overly general and sometimes misleading conventional text-classification schemes as they are currently applied and, instead, characterize a text according to

its psychologically relevant properties. The next section will propose, in preliminary fashion, a general outline that might guide the development of such a text classification scheme. Only when the many dimensions of intrinsic or actuarial difference between (conventionally labeled) narrative and exposition are deconfounded will specific and psychologically valid answers to the question of the difficulty of exposition be forthcoming. And only then will instruction differentially directed as a function of type of reading material be more than a well-intentioned shot in the dark.

A Preliminary Sketch of a Multi-Dimensional
Text and Context Classification Scheme

The following is an outline of some of the psychological dimensions on which texts (and readers) may differ. The discussion is organized to inform an understanding of the phenomenon that was our point of departure: The difficulty children frequently manifest in making the transition from children's stories to content area texts.

Before proceeding, some caveats. Our list of dimensions is not orthogonal nor is it intended to be exhaustive. It is not even clearly delineated--within each general dimension many sub-dimensions are scattered and precise measurement along some of the dimensions is beyond current capabilities--so that the ultimate goal of uniquely identifying a point in the multi-dimensional space that corresponds to a given text for a given reader in a given situation must remain for the present a futuristic vision. We are not offering a "how to" manual. Rather, our intention is to illustrate the complexity of the text classification problem and to suggest

directions more complete schemes may follow. It is hoped that further developments of the multi-dimensional space will permit the kind of clarity found, for example, in the multi-dimensional space for differentiating oral and written discourse developed by Rubin (1980). Finally, it is recognized that multidimensional classification will frequently vary within a given text. Ideally, the scheme would be applied to text segments that are uniformly describable by the same values on the various dimensions, where the size of such segments may vary from parts of sentences to entire passages. In fact, the frequency and extensiveness of changes in the multidimensional space within a single text may also relate to processing difficulty.

Underlying Structure

Texts vary in terms of underlying organizational structure. A text can be comprised of a sequence of events in time or it can be organized in some other, nontemporal manner. In the case of a sequential underlying structure, the presentation of events often matches the representation of events, such as in a typical, well-formed story. This is especially true in children's stories, which rarely have flashbacks. In contrast, in a nontemporally structured text, as is often found in content area material, the sequential presentation of ideas necessitated by linguistic expression does not correspond to the mental representation of those ideas. This may produce an advantage for children's stories, given that temporal congruity between presentation and representation of events facilitates comprehension of a story (Baker, 1978; Mandler, 1978; Stein & Nezworski, 1978; Thorndyke,

1977). Mnemonic advantages of temporal sequence are further indicated by the commonly observed phenomenon of imposing temporal order in the recall of nontemporally ordered text (Gomulicki, 1956).

A mismatch between presentation and representation of ideas could present processing difficulties for children in a number of ways. It may be more difficult to discern the structural organization of content area text if the underlying representation of ideas does not correspond to their order in the surface structure of the text. Also, comprehension of content area text may be impaired if substantial amounts of processing capacity are required for the restructuring of nontemporally organized text from its sequential order of input to its underlying organization, leaving less capacity for other comprehension processes, such as following a recursive pattern of superordinate and subordinate ideas in content area text.

Finally, the demands for integration may be different. The necessity of text being presented as a linear sequence of segments has the virtue, already mentioned, of correspondence with the chaining together of episodes in stories. The underlying ideas in some content area texts, on the other hand, may be more holistic in nature. In such cases, the sequential and segmented nature of language may inhibit synthetic processes.

To the extent that the underlying organization of children's stories is hierarchical as well as sequential, characteristics of superordinateness tend to differ in the two text-types. It may be that goals, so frequently superordinate in children's stories, are more salient and thus more readily apprehended (thus facilitating apprehension of the entire structure) than,

for example, the subsuming abstract ideas commonly superordinate in content area text. (This is an obvious example of the promised nonorthogonality of the dimensions with, in this case, structural and content variables interacting. Actually, we consider superordinateness to be more of a semantic variable than an organizational one.)

A mismatch between presentation and representation of ideas in content area text may conflict with test demands. For example, if children are asked to recall a hierarchically organized segment of content area text, they might have difficulty retransforming this information back into a sequence of ideas (a kind of output interference). A recall of a story, in contrast, would probably be easier to produce simply because the surface organization of the story would more closely match the underlying representation of the story in memory. Here characteristics of underlying organization may interact with type of test (see the section below on Subsequent Use of Text Information), with the mismatch just described having more serious consequences for the complete reproduction of a text than for probe-type questions.

Relevance of Preexisting Structural Knowledge

Recently, a great deal of theoretical and empirical work has focused on the use of story schemata by children and adults (Mandler & Johnson, 1977; Rumelhart, 1977; Stein & Glenn, 1979; Thorndyke, 1977). Basically, this work suggests that children and adults possess information about how stories are typically organized which, independent of content or input sequence, is used to facilitate comprehension and recall of children's stories and inform

decisions on what constitutes a well-formed story. In contrast with the story schema research, much less empirical work has focused on schemata for content area discourse. However, Meyer (1975) has identified a number of content area patterns, such as problem-solution or cause-effect. (naturally, this topic has received considerable attention in such disciplines as rhetoric; see Brewer, 1980).

Children may have difficulty with content area material because they do not possess structural schemata for content area text which are as well formed as those they possess for stories. They may have trouble selecting the appropriate content area schemata for a particular text from their available pool of content area schemata, given that content area forms are not as limited as children's story forms. Also, content area text may more often require the concurrent use of more than one structural schema, a further potential source of difficulty.

Digressing briefly, we believe that the importance of structural schemata has been exaggerated. As we indicated earlier, it seems likely that the common structural forms associated with children's stories result from the common content of children's stories: people, their goals, and their actions to attain goals. Expository material has a greater variety of structures because it tends to be about a greater variety of things, with different structures best fitting each thing (this is not to say that stories are only about people and their goals, but that common central concerns are much more likely to be found in stories than subject-area texts). Thus, results apparently attributable to structural story schemata

may really be due to availability of a common core of content schemata, whereas requisite content knowledge for content area text may more often be unavailable.

Form of Linguistic Expression

Relevant language characteristics include traditional readability measures as well as several other less frequently considered variables. Readability formulas have traditionally been used to determine the relative difficulty of texts (e.g. Flesch, 1949). In general, these formulas are based on some measure of vocabulary difficulty, such as word length, and some measure of sentence difficulty, such as sentence length and syntactic complexity. While these measures produce a global indication of the difficulty of a text, the inadequacies of this simplistic approach to readability have been stressed (e.g., Kintsch & Vipond, Note 2). Factors omitted include most of the potential dimensions of difficulty discussed in the present paper. Nevertheless, it has been pointed out, based on readability formulas, that children's content textbooks in school are often written at a more difficult level than their basal reader stories (Hall et al., 1979). Children may have more difficulty with content area selections than stories, in part, because of more difficult vocabulary and longer sentences in the former type of text. Content area texts may contain more complex syntax (e.g., greater relative use of passive than active voice, more embedding, etc.) and less familiar cohesion producing connectives (e.g., in other words, this shows that, for example, as well as less transparent anaphoric reference). However, it should be kept in mind that

more complex forms may sometimes promote comprehension (see Pearson, 1974-75; for example).

In addition to vocabulary difficulty and sentence complexity, texts may vary in their use of figurative language. This variation may involve not only the frequency of occurrence of figurative language, but also its communicative function (Ortony, 1975). For example, metaphors could be used merely to repeat or embellish information conveyed elsewhere literally, or they could carry exclusive communicative responsibility. Furthermore, metaphor and analogy often play a pivotal role in the elucidation of central concepts in content area texts (especially in the sciences). In children's stories, metaphor seems to more often serve peripheral functions, such as ancillary description. To the extent that figurative language is more difficult than literal language, and that content area texts contain more pivotal and unsupported use of figurative language, such texts may accordingly increase in difficulty.

Finally, oral and written language differ in many respects (Rubin, 1980; Schallert, Kleiman, & Rubin, 1977). To the extent that a written text utilizes oral language conventions congruously, the text may be easier. Consider the frequent incidence of dialogue in children's stories (but note the novel punctuation that must be introduced, perhaps adding compensating difficulty).

Content and Semantic Organization

Texts can differ along many interrelated content dimensions. Texts may be relatively abstract or concrete and imageable, with abstract text more difficult (Thorndyke, 1977). They may differ in their density of ideas versus events. If events are described, they may be real or hypothetical, contain substantial action content or be relatively peaceful, resolve rapidly or linger in unresolved suspense. Variability along these lines may affect children's processing; for example, children tend to assign great importance to action (Brown & Smiley, 1977). The number of ideas (or concept load) in texts of the same length may vary; some texts may frequently repeat (explicitly or implicitly) the same propositions while others frequently introduce new propositions, perhaps increasing text difficulty (Kintsch, Kozminsky, Streby, McKoon, & Keenan, 1975; for a detailed model that may permit measurement of a text's psychological processing difficulty along these lines, see Kintsch & van Dijk, 1978). The concepts discussed in a text may themselves vary in complexity. To take a simple example, the concept of "selling" is psychologically more complex than the concept of "giving," because the former entails the additional component of money transfer (Gentner, 1975).

Texts differ in the type and complexity of semantic relationships between ideas they contain. In stories, actions have to be pragmatically interpreted as to their relationship to goals of the characters (Bruce, 1980). More logical sorts of interrelating operations are frequently required in content area text (e.g., relating concepts to their attributes,

categorization, and so on--see also the parallel distinction between common-sense and logical modes of analysis in the section on Text Evaluation). Once again, however, such characteristics are not universally associated with a given type of text. It has been pointed out that some content area text is characterized by goal structures similar to those of stories (Freedle & Hale, 1979; Stein, 1978). It might be added that stories do not always have goal structures (stories about the random and purposeless activities of people can be very good stories--some existentialist philosophers might even say the only kind of stories that would really capture the nature of modern experience). This once again illustrates the misleading nature of the general text labels "exposition" and "narrative," as a common characteristic of one type may sometimes be absent from that type and present in the other.

Inferencing is another aspect of processing for which logical versus pragmatic semantic operations may be differentially required across texts. The information implicit in text but necessary for coherent understanding may need to be generated by pragmatic inferences (Brewer, in press) relatively more in children's stories and by logical inferences more in content area text; children may have greater difficulty making logical than pragmatic inferences (Hildyard, 1979). Likewise, relationships affecting the importance of ideas in text may more often be determined on pragmatic grounds in stories and have a logical basis in content area text. Since pragmatically based semantic processes make more demands than logical processes on content knowledge (see the next section), we once again have a demonstration of interrelatedness within the dimensional space.

Finally, content may be of varying interest to readers. However, because of the relation of interest to prior knowledge, this topic will be taken up in the next section.

Relevance and Availability of Preexisting Content Knowledge

Meaning is not conveyed solely by the linguistic content of text. Rather, meaning is constructed, using the text as a point of departure. The constructive process utilizes various kinds of contextual information, most prominent of which is the topic-related knowledge already possessed by the reader (see R. Anderson, 1977; Bartlett, 1932; Bransford & McCarrell, 1975; Dooling & Lachman, 1971; Spiro, 1977). One's knowledge structures (schemata, frames, scripts) are organized to enable such basic comprehension activities as inferencing, generating expectations, and imparting thematic connectedness (Collins, Brown, & Larkin, 1980; Rumelhart & Ortony, 1977; Schank & Abelson, 1977). Schemata have been shown to support memory for details (Anderson, Spiro, & Anderson, 1978), reconstruction (Bartlett, 1932; Spiro, 1977) and retrieval (Anderson & Pichert, 1978) of text information, determination of the relative importance of text information (Pichert & Anderson, 1977), and identification of information that requires less processing and explicit memorial representation as a function of its future derivability from other information (Spiro & Esposito, 1977; Spiro, Esposito, & Vondruska, 1978). Furthermore, if prior knowledge includes information about the typical or natural order of events, this may enhance the mnemonic advantages of temporally over nontemporally organized information (Baker, 1978; Schank & Abelson, 1977; see the earlier section on Underlying Structure).

To the extent that one's knowledge structures are derived from personal experience, employing them in understanding text may permit greater empathic involvement. Also, it is part of conventional wisdom that there are advantages to learning things directly from experience rather than indirectly from instruction (but see Ausubel, 1968, p. 467). Perhaps the ability to personally simulate what one is reading about (and thereby "live" it in a sense) might be enhanced.

Prior knowledge may affect one's expectations concerning the interestingness of classes of text materials, such as stories versus subject-area texts, although it is not clear whether interest affects performance because of motivational factors or because one tends to be more interested in things one knows about (i.e., the knowledge, not the interest, produces the effect; see Asher, 1980).

The extent to which the various advantages of conceptually driven processes will apply is a function of characteristics of texts and of readers' knowledge. In virtually all texts some information is omitted by the author on the assumption that it is available to the reader and may easily be supplied (Clark & Haviland, 1977; Grice, 1975). Texts will vary in the extent to which this is the case, some texts being relatively more self-contained than others. For texts that are less self-contained, there will be differences in the burden placed on the individual to construct new knowledge structures rather than merely instantiating existing generic knowledge structures; that is, some structures may be permanently represented in memory as "pre-compiled" wholes while others need to be

assembled when and as needed (Schank, 1979; Spiro, 1980). Additionally, texts will vary in their facilitation of conceptually driven processes, some providing clear explicit cues as to which preexisting knowledge is relevant, for how long it should be maintained as an adjunct to understanding the text, and when it should yield to other knowledge.

Further constraints on prior-knowledge-based processes result from reader characteristics. Most obviously, schemata presupposed by an author must be possessed by the reader. However, schema availability by itself is insufficient. Among other necessary accompanying processes (see Spiro, 1979), schemata must be efficiently accessed, at an appropriate level of specificity, and accurately applied to the text. Finally, different individuals' schemata for the same concept may vary in their suitedness for achieving the advantages of knowledge-based processing. For example, mere familiarity with a situation will not enable increased recall of details unless the schema for the situation is sufficiently differentiated and constrained (Anderson, et al., 1978). That is, feelings of familiarity may be generated by knowledge structures of varying states of development.

Discourse Function

Numerous taxonomies of the purpose or "force" of discourse have been proposed. For example, Brewer (1980) suggests that a text may be written to entertain, persuade, inform, or aesthetically please. Whatever the specific taxonomy, functions or purposes of reading may differ in their ease of satisfaction. In general, children may be more eager to read stories written for entertainment than content text-books written to inform.

Furthermore, it is clear that texts may be written, assigned during instruction, and read for a variety of purposes; the outcomes of comprehension may then differ accordingly. Common sense would suggest that when these purposes are not in agreement for the author, teacher, and student, adequacy of perceived comprehension outcomes can be seriously influenced.

Subsequent Use of Text Information

Related to the functions of a text are the uses to which it will later be put. Will understanding have to be demonstrated at a later time? Will such demonstrations be informal or formal? For how long will information have to be held in memory prior to the demonstration? It may be the case that story understanding in schools tends to be assessed informally (e.g., in class discussions) fairly soon after reading, while understanding of content area material is more often assessed formally, by written tests and after relatively longer delays (Dixon, 1979). In the simplest case, content area material may appear to cause difficulty just because more is expected for demonstrating its understanding. Furthermore, the standards by which a text is to be evaluated may tend to differ for stories and subject-area texts; judgments of conformity to common-sense experience may more often be applied to the former ("Could this really happen?"; "What would you do in this situation?"; etc.), whereas the latter are subject to a "literate bias" according to which they stand or fall as a function of the adequacy and internal consistency of their logical arguments (Olson, 1977).

The child is expected to learn from content area text, to update his or her knowledge by integrating new information with topically related old information, sometimes to be able to transfer the newly acquired information (i.e., apply it in some novel context). Stories, on the other hand, are not supposed to be assimilated to other similar stories. Stories are complete; different fairy tales are supposed to be differentiated, whereas, at least below the college level, the different texts in which information about the Revolutionary War is received are not supposed to maintain their particular identity (Spiro, 1980). Of course, as with all dimensions, these are just tendencies; children may be expected to learn from the morals of stories, and, in later schooling, prose fiction will become a topic of study where knowledge-updating will become relatively more important.

It is worth noting that educational ideals and testing realities frequently conflict, perhaps indirectly contributing a measure of difficulty with content area text for some children. Optimal transfer potential may be promoted by constructing trans-situationally integrated knowledge structures, but examinations usually test just the last acquisition situation and emphasize accurate memory. For such a test, compartmentalization of knowledge is frequently the best strategy (Spiro, 1977). Some children who appear to be having trouble with content area text may be confused as to what to expect, given that their teacher teaches one way and then, for convenience purposes, tests another. Such children might actually be acquiring important knowledge, but in a way not well adapted to test demands. Other children, apparently having little difficulty with

content area text (given adequate test performance), may not, in any useful way, be learning at all.

Contextual Relevance

A child's oral language experience typically includes considerable contextual support; it frequently involves things that are going on in the child's life, quite often the immediate physical environment (Rubin, 1980). Children's early oral experience with expository types of information tend to be of this contextually (and personally) relevant kind ("What's that?"; "Please explain why what just happened to me happened that way?"). The child passes a tree and asks why leaves are green. It is probably less often the case that somebody says to the child, "Let's learn about why trees are green" when there are no trees around. Children's stories, on the other hand, almost always come "out of the blue"--one typically does not wait for situations to arise involving glass slippers, pumpkin carriages, or creative mice before reading Cinderella to a child. Rather than the context of stories, it is the activity of reading itself that tends to be situationally relevant (e.g., before going to bed is a time to read stories). It may be, then, that some children are less prepared by their oral language experience for school situations that involve contextually irrelevant, "out of the blue" written presentation of expository material. On the other hand, contextual discontinuity may seem less unnatural with stories.

Extra-Textual Support

Text is frequently supplemented in various ways to enhance understanding or interest. Depending on how they are used, adjunct questions (Anderson & Biddle, 1975), advance organizers (Mayer, 1979), and illustrations (Schallert, 1980), among other devices (see T. Anderson, 1980, for a review), may all result in some decrease in text difficulty. Since the applicability of such support devices will depend on the types of difficulty they are intended to overcome, this aspect does not constitute an independent dimension within the scheme. Rather, it requires a recursive analysis of the extra-textual aid and its relation to a specific text in terms of all the preceding dimensions.

Concluding Remarks

Obviously, the classification scheme as presented is not even close to completely formed. Surely important dimensions have been overlooked. It is clear that the dimensions (and sub-dimensions) require more precise differentiation and, in some cases, development of reliable methods of measurement. Calibrating the various measurement metrics will present further problems. However, since our goal was nothing so ambitious as the construction of a complete model, we will be satisfied if three of our modest goals were attained. First, we hoped to demonstrate the complexity of the web of psychological properties that distinguish the processing of one text from another and, thereby, the need to abandon simplistic traditional classifications of text as a basis for investigating the differential difficulty texts may present. Second, we wanted to offer

preliminary suggestions that might provide useful directions for the development of a complete and practical method of multidimensional text classification.

Third, we intended that our discussion of text classification, however embryonic, would provide a framework for the design and interpretation of empirical studies that less ambiguously identify sources of difficulty in children's transition to subject-area reading. The discussion of psychological text properties, besides aiming towards a text classification logic, is a collection of hypotheses about why that transition may be difficult for some children; that is, the scheme suggests dimensions to include in multivariate correlational studies of the transition phenomenon using existing texts and to control when constructing texts for experimental investigations. A caveat: The demands of rigorous experimental control, by extirpating properties from those with which they typically co-occur, may produce artificial texts artificially responded to--a measure of ability to adapt to ecologically invalid reading situations would likely be of little utility. Another caveat: processing difficulty along any of the dimensions can lead to comprehension failure; care should be taken to identify individuals whose apparently equivalent degrees of disability may be measuring very different sources of disability. The same caveat may even apply for the same individual across types of text; for example, a child experiencing difficulty with whatever is read may be having different problems with stories than with subject-area texts.

Identifying psychological dimensions of text processing difficulty would only be the first step. One would still want to know why some text property caused difficulty. Is it a problem of initial understanding? Of remembering? Might the transition problem result from cognitive capacity limitations on the number of dimensions of difficulty that can be dealt with in the same text? (In which case the strategy of manipulating one dimension at a time may be unrevealing.) Are certain kinds of processes inherently easier, or is it more a matter of fit to prior oral or written language experience? If the latter, does experience at some level of a text dimension produce positive transfer to stories or negative transfer to subject-area texts? Would the source of such effects be experiences in school, out of school, or both?

Finally, the outcomes of research such as we have proposed would have obvious instructional implications. For example, they could serve as a framework to guide further research aimed at developing strategies for overcoming difficulty along the various dimensions. More ambitiously, development of the multidimensional text classification scheme could permit investigation of alternative sequences of phasing in subject-area text by gradually increasing the number of dimensions with difficulty that they contain, perhaps ultimately developing procedures for identifying instructionally optimal sequences of text transitions suited to individual needs.

Multidimensional Text Classification

24

Reference Note

1. Center for the Study of Reading. The analysis of texts and tasks (report submitted to the National Institute of Education). Urbana: University of Illinois, Center for the Study of Reading, 1978.
2. Kintsch, W., & Vipond, D. Reading comprehension and readability in educational practice and psychological theory. Paper presented at the Conference on Memory, University of Upsala, Sweden, 1977.

References

- Anderson, R. C. The notion of schemata and the educational enterprise. In R. C. Anderson, R. J. Spiro, & W. E. Montague (Eds.), Schooling and the acquisition of knowledge. Hillsdale, N.J.: Erlbaum, 1977.
- Anderson, R. C., & Biddle, W. B. On asking people questions about what they are reading. In G. Bower (Ed.), Psychology of learning and motivation (Vol. 9). New York: Academic Press, 1975.
- Anderson, R. C., & Pichert, J. W. Recall of previously unrecallable information following a shift in perspective. Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior, 1978, 17, 1-12.
- Anderson, R. C., Spiro, R. J., & Anderson, M. C. Schemata as scaffolding for the representation of information in discourse. American Educational Research Journal, 1978, 15, 433-440.
- Anderson, T. H. Study strategies and adjunct aids. In R. J. Spiro, B. C. Bruce, & W. F. Brewer (Eds.), Theoretical issues in reading comprehension. Hillsdale, N.J.: Erlbaum, 1980.
- Asher, S. R. Effects of interest on children's reading comprehension. In R. J. Spiro, B. C. Bruce, & W. F. Brewer (Eds.), Theoretical issues in reading comprehension. Hillsdale, N.J.: Erlbaum, 1980.
- Aulls, J. Developmental and remedial reading in the middle grades. Boston: Allyn & Bacon, 1978.
- Ausubel, D. P. Educational psychology: A cognitive view. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, 1968.

Baker, L. Processing temporal relationships in simple stories: Effects of input sequence. Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior, 1978, 17, 559-572.

Baker, L., & Stein, N. J. The development of prose comprehension skills (Tech. Rep. No. 102). Urbana: University of Illinois, Center for the Study of Reading, September 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 159 663)

Bartlett, F. C. Remembering. London: Cambridge University Press, 1932.

Bransford, J. D., & McCarrell, N. S. A sketch of a cognitive approach to comprehension. In W. B. Weimer & D. S. Palermo (Eds.), Cognition and the symbolic processes. Hillsdale, N.J.: Erlbaum, 1975.

Brewer, W. F. Literary theory rhetoric, stylistics: Implications for psychology. In R. J. Spiro, B. C. Bruce, & W. F. Brewer (Eds.), Theoretical issues in reading comprehension. Hillsdale, N.J.: Erlbaum, 1980.

Brewer, W. F. Memory for the pragmatic implications of sentences. Memory and Cognition, in press.

Brown, A. L., & Smiley, S. S. Rating the importance of structural units of prose passages: A problem of metacognitive development. Child Development, 1977, 48, 1-8.

Bruce B. C. Plans and social actions. In R. J. Spiro, B. C. Bruce, & W. F. Brewer (Eds.), Theoretical issues in reading comprehension. Hillsdale, N.J.: Erlbaum, 1980.

Clark, H. H., & Haviland, S. E. Comprehension and the given-new contract.

In R. O. Freedle (Ed.), Discourse production and comprehension.

Norwood, N.J.: Ablex, 1977.

Collins, A. M., Brown, J. S., & Larkin, K. Inference in text understanding.

In R. J. Spiro, B. C. Bruce, & W. F. Brewer (Eds.), Theoretical issues in reading comprehension. Hillsdale, N.J.: Erlbaum, 1980.

Dixon, C. N. Text type and children's recall. In M. Kamil & A. Moe (Eds.), Reading research: Studies and applications. Clemson, S.C.: National Reading Conference, 1979.

Dooling, D., & Lachman, R. Effects of comprehension on retention of prose. Journal of Experimental Psychology, 1971, 88, 216-222.

Flesch, R. F. The art of readable writing. New York: Harper, 1949.

Freedle, R., & Hale, G. Acquisition of new comprehension schemata for content area prose by transfer of a narrative schema. In R. Freedle, (Ed.), New directions in discourse processing. Norwood, N.J.: Ablex, 1979.

Gentner, D. Evidence for the psychological reality of semantic components: The verbs of possession. In D. A. Norman, D. E. Rumelhart, & LNR Research Group, Explorations in cognition. San Francisco: Freeman, 1975.

Goetz, E., & Armbruster, B. Psychological correlate of text structure. In R. J. Spiro, B. C. Bruce, & W. F. Brewer (Eds.), Theoretical issues in reading comprehension. Hillsdale, N.J.: Erlbaum, 1980.

Gomulicki, B. R. Recall as an abstractive process. Acta Psychologica, 1956, 12, 77-94.

Grice, H. P. Logic and conversation. In P. Cole & J. L. Morgan (Eds.), Syntax and semantics (Vol. 3): Speech acts. New York: Academic Press, 1975.

Hall, M., Ribovich, J., & Ramig, C. Reading and the elementary school child (2nd ed.). New York: D. Van Nostrand, 1979.

Harris, L. A., & Smith, C. B. Reading instruction: Diagnostic teaching in the classroom (2nd ed.). New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, 1976.

Hildyard, A. Children's production of inferences from oral text. Discourse Processes, 1979, 2, 33-56.

Kintsch, W.; Kozminsky, E., Streby, W. J., McKoon, G., & Keenan, J. M. Comprehension and recall of text as a function of content variables.

Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior, 1975, 14, 196-214.

Kintsch, W., & van Dijk, T. A. Toward a model of text comprehension and production. Psychological Review, 1978, 85, 363-394.

Lapp, D., & Flood, J. Teaching reading to every child. New York: Macmillan, 1978.

Mandler, J. M. A code in the node: The use of a story schema in retrieval. Discourse Processes, 1978, 1, 14-35.

Mandler, J. M., & Johnson, N. S. Remembrance of things parsed: Story structure and recall. Cognitive Psychology, 1977, 9, 111-151.

Mayer, R. E. Can advance organizers influence meaningful learning? Review of Educational Research, 1979, 49, 371-383.

Meyer, B. J. F. Organization of prose and its effects on memory.

Amsterdam: North Holland, 1975.

Olson, D. The languages of instruction: On the literate bias of schooling.

In R. C. Anderson, R. J. Spiro, & W. E. Montague (Eds.), Schooling and the acquisition of knowledge. Hillsdale, N.J.: Erlbaum, 1977.

Ortony, A. Why metaphors are necessary and not just nice. Educational Theory, 1975, 1, 45-54.

Pearson, P. D. The effects of grammatical complexity on children's comprehension, recall, and conception of certain semantic relations. Reading Research Quarterly, 1974-75, 10, 155-192.

Pichert, J. W., & Anderson, R. C. Taking different perspectives on a story. Journal of Educational Psychology, 1977, 69, 309-315.

Reder, L. Prose comprehension: A literature review (Tech. Rep. No. 108). Urbana: University of Illinois, Center for the Study of Reading, November 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 165 114)

Rubin, A. D. A theoretical taxonomy of the differences between oral and written language. In R. J. Spiro, B. C. Bruce, & W. F. Brewer (Eds.), Theoretical issues in reading comprehension. Hillsdale, N.J.: Erlbaum, 1980.

Rumelhart, D. E. Understanding and summarizing brief stories. In D. LaBerge & S. J. Samuels (Eds.), Basic process in reading: Perception and comprehension. Hillsdale, N.J.: Erlbaum, 1977.

Multidimensional Text Classification

30

- Rumelhart, D. E., & Ortony, A. The representation of knowledge in memory. In R. C. Anderson, R. J. Spiro, & W. E. Montague (Eds.), Schooling and the acquisition of knowledge. Hillsdale, N.J.: Erlbaum, 1977.
- Schallert, D. L. The role of illustrations in reading comprehension. In R. J. Spiro, B. C. Bruce, & W. F. Brewer (Eds.), Theoretical issues in reading comprehension. Hillsdale, N.J.: Erlbaum, 1980.
- Schallert, D. L., Kleiman, G. M., & Rubin, A. D. Analysis of differences between oral and written language (Tech. Rep. No. 29). Urbana: University of Illinois, Center for the Study of Reading, April 1977.
(ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 144 038)
- Schank, R. C. Reminding and memory organization: An introduction to MOPS (Research Rep. No. 170). New Haven: Yale University, Department of Computer Science, 1979.
- Schank, R. C., & Abelson, R. P. Scripts, plans, goals, and understanding. Hillsdale, N.J.: Erlbaum, 1977.
- Spiro, R. J. Remembering information from text: The "state of schema" approach. In R. C. Anderson, R. J. Spiro, & W. E. Montague (Eds.), Schooling and the acquisition of knowledge. Hillsdale, N.J.: Erlbaum, 1977.
- Spiro, R. J. Constructive aspects of prose comprehension and recall. In R. J. Spiro, B. C. Bruce, & W. F. Brewer (Eds.), Theoretical issues in reading comprehension. Hillsdale, N.J.: Erlbaum, 1980.

Spiro, R. J. Etiology of reading comprehension style. In M. Kamil & A. Moe (Eds.), Reading research: Studies and applications. Clemson, S.C.: National Reading Conference, 1979.

Spiro, R. J., & Esposito, J. Superficial processing of explicit inferences in text (Tech. Rep. No. 60). Urbana: University of Illinois, Center for the Study of Reading, December, 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 150 545)

Spiro, R. J., Esposito, J., & Vondruska, R. The representation of derivable information in memory: When what might have been left unsaid is said. In D. Waltz (Ed.), Theoretical issues in natural language processing II. New York: Association for Computing Machinery and Association for Computational Linguistics, 1978.

Stein, N. L., & Glenn, C. G. An analysis of story comprehension in elementary school children. In R. Freedle (Ed.), New directions in discourse processing. Norwood, N.J.: Ablex, 1979.

Stein, N. L., & Nezworski, T. The effects of organization and instructional set on story memory. Discourse Processes, 1978, 1, 177-194.

Thorndyke, P. W. Cognitive structures in comprehension and memory of narrative discourse. Cognitive Psychology, 1977, 9, 77-110.

CENTER FOR THE STUDY OF READING

READING EDUCATION REPORTS

- No. 1: Durkin, D. *Comprehension Instruction—Where are You?*, October 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 146 566, 14p., PC-\$1.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 2: Asher, S. R. *Sex Differences in Reading Achievement*, October 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 146 567, 30p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 3: Adams, M. J., Anderson, R. C., & Durkin, D. *Beginning Reading: Theory and Practice*, November 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 151 722, 15p., PC-\$1.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 4: Jenkins, J. R., & Pany, D. *Teaching Reading Comprehension in the Middle Grades*, January 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 151 756, 36p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 5: Bruce, B. *What Makes a Good Story?*, June 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 158 222, 16p., PC-\$1.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 6: Anderson, T. H. *Another Look at the Self-Questioning Study Technique*, September 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 163 441, 19p., PC-\$1.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 7: Pearson, P. D., & Kamil, M. L. *Basic Processes and Instructional Practices in Teaching Reading*, December 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 165 118, 29p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 8: Collins, A., & Haviland, S. E. *Children's Reading Problems*, June 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 172 188, 19p., PC-\$1.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 9: Schallert, D. L., & Kleiman, G. M. *Some Reasons Why Teachers are Easier to Understand than Textbooks*, June 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 172 189, 17p., PC-\$1.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 10: Baker, L. *Do I Understand or Do I not Understand: That is the Question*, July 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 174 948, 27p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 11: Anderson, R. C., & Freebody, P. *Vocabulary Knowledge and Reading*, August 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 177 470, 52p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 12: Joag-dev, C., & Steffensen, M. S. *Studies of the Bicultural Reader: Implications for Teachers and Librarians*, January 1980. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 181 430, 28p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 13: Adams, M., & Bruce, B. *Background Knowledge and Reading Comprehension*, January 1980. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 181 431, 48p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 14: Rubin, A. *Making Stories, Making Sense* (includes a response by T. Raphael and J. LaZansky), January 1980. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 181 432, 42p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 15: Tierney, R. J., & LaZansky, J. *The Rights and Responsibilities of Readers and Writers: A Contractual Agreement* (includes responses by R. N. Kantor and B. B. Armbruster), January 1980. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 181 447, 32p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 16: Anderson, T. H., Armbruster, B. B., & Kantor, R. N. *How Clearly Written are Children's Textbooks? Or, Of Bladderworts and Alfa* (includes a response by M. Kane, Senior Editor, Ginn and Company), August 1980.
- No. 17: Tierney, R. J., Mosenthal, J., & Kantor, R. N. *Some Classroom Applications of Text Analysis: Toward Improving Text Selection and Use*, August 1980.
- No. 18: Steinberg, C., & Bruce, B. *Higher-Level Features in Children's Stories: Rhetorical Structure and Conflict*, October 1980.
- No. 19: Durkin, D. *What is the Value of the New Interest in Reading Comprehension?*, November 1980.

CENTER FOR THE STUDY OF READING

TECHNICAL REPORTS

- No. 1: Halff, H. M. *Graphical Evaluation of Hierarchical Clustering Schemes*, October 1975. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 134 926, 11p., PC-\$1.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 2: Spiro, R. J. *Inferential Reconstruction in Memory for Connected Discourse*, October 1975. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 136 187, 81p., PC-\$6.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 3: Goetz, E. T. *Sentences in Lists and in Connected Discourse*, November 1975. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 134 927, 75p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 4: Alessi, S. M., Anderson, T. H., & Biddle, W. B. *Hardware and Software Considerations in Computer Based Course Management*, November 1975. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 134 928, 21p., PC-\$1.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 5: Schallert, D. L. *Improving Memory for Prose: The Relationship between Depth of Processing and Context*, November 1975. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 134 929, 37p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 6: Anderson, R. C., Goetz, E. T., Pichert, J. W., & Halff, H. M. *Two Faces of the Conceptual Peg Hypothesis*, January 1976. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 134 930, 29p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 7: Ortony, A. *Names, Descriptions, and Pragmatics*, February 1976. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 134 931, 25p., PC-\$1.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 8: Mason, J. M. *Questioning the Notion of Independent Processing Stages in Reading*, February 1976. (*Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1977, 69, 288-297)
- No. 9: Siegel, M. A. *Teacher Behaviors and Curriculum Packages: Implications for Research and Teacher Education*, April 1976. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 134 932, 42p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 10: Anderson, R. C., Pichert, J. W., Goetz, E. T., Schallert, D. L., Stevens, K. C., & Trollip, S. R. *Instantiation of General Terms*, March 1976. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 134 933, 30p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 11: Armbruster, B. B. *Learning Principles from Prose: A Cognitive Approach Based on Schema Theory*, July 1976. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 134 934, 48p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 12: Anderson, R. C., Reynolds, R. E., Schallert, D. L., & Goetz, E. T. *Frameworks for Comprehending Discourse*, July 1976. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 134 935, 33p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 13: Rubin, A. D., Bruce, B. C., & Brown, J. S. *A Process-Oriented Language for Describing Aspects of Reading Comprehension*, November 1976. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 136 188, 41p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 14: Pichert, J. W., & Anderson, R. C. *Taking Different Perspectives on a Story*, November 1976. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 134 936, 30p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 15: Schwartz, R. M. *Strategic Processes in Beginning Reading*, November 1976. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 134 937, 19p., PC-\$1.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 16: Jenkins, J. R., & Pany, D. *Curriculum Biases in Reading Achievement Tests*, November 1976. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 134 938, 24p., PC-\$1.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 17: Asher, S. R., Hymel, S., & Wigfield, A. *Children's Comprehension of High- and Low-Interest Material and a Comparison of Two Cloze Scoring Methods*, November 1976. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 134 939, 32p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 18: Brown, A. L., Smiley, S. S., Day, J. D., Townsend, M. A. R., & Lawton, S. C. *Intrusion of a Thematic Idea in Children's Comprehension and Retention of Stories*, December 1976. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 136 189, 39p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 19: Kleiman, G. M. *The Prelinguistic Cognitive Basis of Children's Communicative Intentions*, February 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 134 940, 51p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 20: Kleiman, G. M. *The Effect of Previous Context on Reading Individual Words*, February 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 134 941, 76p., PC-\$6.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 21: Kane, J. H., & Anderson, R. C. *Depth of Processing and Interference Effects in the Learning and Remembering of Sentences*, February 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 134 942, 29p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)

- No. 22: Brown, A. L., & Campione, J. C. *Memory Strategies in Learning: Training Children to Study Strategically*, March 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 136 234, 54p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 23: Smiley, S. S., Oakley, D. D., Worthen, D., Campione, J. C., & Brown, A. L. *Recall of Thematically Relevant Material by Adolescent Good and Poor Readers as a Function of Written Versus Oral Presentation*, March 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 136 235, 23p., PC-\$1.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 24: Anderson, R. C., Spiro, R. J., & Anderson, M. C. *Schemata as Scaffolding for the Representation of Information in Connected Discourse*, March 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 136 236, 18p., PC-\$1.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 25: Pany, D., & Jenkins, J. R. *Learning Word Meanings: A Comparison of Instructional Procedures and Effects on Measures of Reading Comprehension with Learning Disabled Students*, March 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 136 237, 34p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 26: Armbruster, B. B., Stevens, R. J., & Rosenshine, B. *Analyzing Content Coverage and Emphasis: A Study of Three Curricula and Two Tests*, March 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 136 238, 22p., PC-\$1.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 27: Ortony, A., Reynolds, R. E., & Arter, J. A. *Metaphor: Theoretical and Empirical Research*, March 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 137 752, 63p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 28: Ortony, A. *Remembering and Understanding Jabberwocky and Small-Talk*, March 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 137 753, 36p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 29: Schallert, D. L., Kleiman, G. M., & Rubin, A. D. *Analyses of Differences between Written and Oral Language*, April 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 144 038, 33p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 30: Goetz, E. T., & Osborn, J. *Procedures for Sampling Texts and Tasks in Kindergarten through Eighth Grade*, April 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 146 565, 80p., PC-\$6.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 31: Nash-Webber, B. *Anaphora: A Cross-Disciplinary Survey*, April 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 144 039, 43p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 32: Adams, M. J., & Collins, A. *A Schema-Theoretic View of Reading Comprehension*, April 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 142 971, 49p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 33: Huggins, A. W. F. *Syntactic Aspects of Reading Comprehension*, April 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 142 972, 68p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 34: Bruce, B. C. *Plans and Social Actions*, April 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 149 328, 45p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 35: Rubin, A. D. *A Theoretical Taxonomy of the Differences between Oral and Written Language*, January 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 150 550, 61p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 36: Nash-Webber, B., & Reiter, R. *Anaphora and Logical Form: On Formal Meaning Representation for Natural Language*, April 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 142 973, 42p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 37: Adams, M. J. *Failures to Comprehend and Levels of Processing in Reading*, April 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 145 410, 51p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 38: Woods, W. A. *Multiple Theory Formation in High-Level Perception*, April 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 144 020, 58p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 40: Collins, A., Brown, J. S., & Larkin, K. M. *Inference in Text Understanding*, December 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 150 547, 48p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 41: Anderson, R. C., & Pichert, J. W. *Recall of Previously Unrecallable Information Following a Shift in Perspective*, April 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 142 974, 37p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 42: Mason, J., Osborn, J., & Rosenshine, B. *A Consideration of Skill Hierarchy Approaches to the Teaching of Reading*, December 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 150 549, 176p., PC-\$12.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 43: Collins, A., Brown, A. L., Morgan, J. L., & Brewer, W. F. *The Analysis of Reading Tasks and Texts*, April 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 145 404, 96p., PC-\$6.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 44: McClure, E. *Aspects of Code-Switching in the Discourse of Bilingual Mexican-American Children*, April 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 142 975, 38p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 45: Schwartz, R. M. *Relation of Context Utilization and Orthographic Automaticity in Word Identification*, May 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 137 762, 27p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)

- No. 46: Anderson, R. C., Stevens, K. C., Shifrin, Z., & Osborn, J. *Instantiation of Word Meanings in Children*, May 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 142 976, 22p., PC-\$1.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 47: Brown, A. L. *Knowing When, Where, and How to Remember: A Problem of Metacognition*, June 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 146 562, 152p., PC-\$10.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 48: Brown, A. L., & DeLoaché, J. S. *Skills, Plans, and Self-Regulation*, July 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 144 040, 66p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 49: Goetz, E. T. *Inferences in the Comprehension of and Memory for Text*, July 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 150 548, 97p., PC-\$6.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 50: Anderson, R. C. *Schema-Directed Processes in Language Comprehension*, July 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 142 977, 33p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 51: Brown, A. L. *Theories of Memory and the Problems of Development: Activity, Growth, and Knowledge*, July 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 144 041, 59p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 52: Morgan, J. L. *Two Types of Convention in Indirect Speech Acts*, July 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 145 405, 40p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 53: Brown, A. L., Smiley, S. S., & Lawton, S. C. *The Effects of Experience on the Selection of Suitable Retrieval Cues for Studying from Prose Passages*, July 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 144 042, 30p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 54: Fleisher, L. S., & Jenkins, J. R. *Effects of Contextualized and Decontextualized Practice Conditions on Word Recognition*, July 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 144 043, 37p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 55: Jenkins, J. R., & Larson, K. *Evaluating Error Correction Procedures for Oral Reading*, June 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 158 224, 34p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 56: Anderson, T. H., Standiford, S. N., & Alessi, S. M. *Computer Assisted Problem Solving in an Introductory Statistics Course*, August 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 146 563, 26p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 57: Barnitz, J. *Interrelationship of Orthography and Phonological Structure in Learning to Read*, August 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 150 546, 62p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 58: Mason, J. M. *The Role of Strategy in Reading in the Mentally Retarded*, September 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 145 406, 28p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 59: Mason, J. M. *Reading Readiness: A Definition and Skills Hierarchy from Preschoolers' Developing Conceptions of Print*, September 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 145 403, 57p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 60: Spiro, R. J., & Esposito, J. J. *Superficial Processing of Explicit Inferences in Text*, December 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 150 545, 27p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 65: Brewer, W. F. *Memory for the Pragmatic Implications of Sentences*, October 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 146 564, 27p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 66: Brown, A. L., & Smiley, S. S. *The Development of Strategies for Study Prose Passages*, October 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 145 371, 59p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 68: Stein, N. L., & Nezworski, T. *The Effects of Organization and Instructional Set on Story Memory*, January 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 149 327, 41p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 69: Stein, N. L. *How Children Understand Stories: A Developmental Analysis*, March 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 153 205, 68p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 76: Thieman, T. J., & Brown, A. L. *The Effects of Semantic and Formal Similarity on Recognition Memory for Sentences in Children*, November 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 150 551, 26p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 77: Nash-Webber, B. L. *Inferences in an Approach to Discourse Anaphora*, January 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 150 552, 30p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 78: Gentner, D. *On Relational Meaning: The Acquisition of Verb Meaning*, December 1977. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 149 325, 46p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 79: Royer, J. M. *Theories of Learning Transfer*, January 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 149 326, 55p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 80: Arter, J. A., & Jenkins, J. P. *Differential Diagnosis-Prescriptive Teaching: A Critical Appraisal*, January 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 150 578, 104p., PC-\$7.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 81: Shoben, E. J. *Choosing a Model of Sentence Picture Comparisons: A Reply to Catlin and Jones*, February 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 150 577, 30p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)

- No. 82: Steffensen, M. S. *Bereiter and Engelmann Reconsidered: The Evidence from Children Acquiring Black English Vernacular*, March 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 153 204, 31p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 83: Reynolds, R. E., Standiford, S. N., & Anderson, R. C. *Distribution of Reading Time When Questions are Asked about a Restricted Category of Text Information*, April 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 153 206, 34p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 84: Baker, L. *Processing Temporal Relationships in Simple Stories: Effects of Input Sequence*, April 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 157 016, 54p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 85: Mason, J. M., Knisely, E., & Kendall, J. *Effects of Polysemous Words on Sentence Comprehension*, May 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 157 015, 34p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 86: Anderson, T. H., Wardrop, J. L., Hively W., Muller, K. E., Anderson, R. I., Hastings, C. N., & Fredericksen, J. *Development and Trial of a Model for Developing Domain Referenced Tests of Reading Comprehension*, May 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 157 036, 69p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 87: Andre, M. E. D. A., & Anderson, T. H. *The Development and Evaluation of a Self-Questioning Study Technique*, June 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 157 037, 37p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 88: Bruce, B. C., & Newman, D. *Interacting Plans*, June 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 157 038, 100p., PC-\$6.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 89: Bruce, B. C., Collins, A., Rubin, A. D., & Gentner, D. *A Cognitive Science Approach to Writing*, June 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 157 039, 57p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 90: Asher, S. R. *Referential Communication*, June 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 159 597, 71p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 91: Royer, J. M., & Cunningham, D. J. *On the Theory and Measurement of Reading Comprehension*, June 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 157 040, 63p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 92: Mason, J. M., Kendall, J. R. *Facilitating Reading Comprehension Through Text Structure Manipulation*, June 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 157 041, 36p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 93: Ortony, A., Schallert, D. L., Reynolds, R. E., & Antos, S. J. *Interpreting Metaphors and Idioms: Some Effects of Context on Comprehension*, July 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 157 042, 41p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 94: Brown, A. L., Campione, J. C., & Barclay, C. R. *Training Self-Checking Routines for Estimating Test Readiness: Generalization from List Learning to Prose Recall*, July 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 158 226, 41p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 95: Reichman, R. *Conversational Coherency*, July 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 159 658, 86p., PC-\$6.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 96: Wigfield, A., & Asher, S. R. *Age Differences in Children's Referential Communication Performance: An Investigation of Task Effects*, July 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 159 659, 31p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 97: Steffensen, M. S., Jogdeo, C., & Anderson, R. C. *A Cross-Cultural Perspective on Reading Comprehension*, July 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 159 660, 41p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 98: Green, G. M. *Discourse Functions of Inversion Construction*, July 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 160 998, 42p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 99: Asher, S. R. *Influence of Topic Interest on Black Children and White Children's Reading Comprehension*, July 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 159 661, 35p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 100: Jenkins, J. R., Pany, D., & Schreck, J. *Vocabulary and Reading Comprehension: Instructional Effects*, August 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 160 999, 50p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 101: Shoben, E. J., Rips, L. J., & Smith, E. E. *Issues in Semantic Memory: A Response to Glass and Holyoak*, August 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 159 662, 85p., PC-\$6.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 102: Baker, L., & Stein, N. L. *The Development of Prose Comprehension Skills*, September 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 159 663, 69p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 103: Fleisher, L. S., Jenkins, J. R., & Pany, D. *Effects on Poor Readers' Comprehension of Training in Rapid Decoding*, September 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 159 664, 39p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)

- No. 104: Anderson, T. H. *Study Skills and Learning Strategies*, September 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 161 000, 41p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 105: Ortony, A. *Beyond Literal Similarity*, October 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 166 635, 58p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 106: Durkin, D. *What Classroom Observations Reveal about Reading Comprehension Instruction*, October 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 162 259, 94p., PC-\$6.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 107: Adams, M. J. *Models of Word Recognition*, October 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 163 431, 93p., PC-\$6.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 108: Reder, L. M. *Comprehension and Retention of Prose: A Literature Review*, November 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 165 114, 116p., PC-\$7.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 109: Wadrop, J. L., Anderson, T. H., Hively, W., Anderson, R. I., Hastings, C. N., & Muller, K. E. *A Framework for Analyzing Reading Test Characteristics*, December 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 165 117, 65p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 110: Tirre, W. C., Manelis, L., & Leicht, K. L. *The Effects of Imaginal and Verbal Strategies on Prose Comprehension in Adults*, December 1978. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 165 116, 27p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 111: Spiro, R. J., & Tirre, W. C. *Individual Differences in Schema Utilization During Discourse Processing*, January 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 166 651, 29p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 112: Ortony, A. *Some Psycholinguistic Aspects of Metaphor*, January 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 165 115, 38p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 113: Antos, S. J. *Processing Facilitation in a Lexical Decision Task*, January 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 165 129, 84p., PC-\$6.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 114: Gentner, D. *Semantic Integration at the Level of Verb Meaning*, February 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 165 130, 39p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 115: Gearhart, M., & Hall, W. S. *Internal State Words: Cultural and Situational Variation in Vocabulary Usage*, February 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 165 131, 66p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 116: Pearson, P. D., Hansen, J., & Gordon, C. *The Effect of Background Knowledge on Young Children's Comprehension of Explicit and Implicit Information*, March 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 169 521, 26p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 117: Barnitz, J. G. *Reading Comprehension of Pronoun-Referent Structures by Children in Grades Two, Four, and Six*, March 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 170 731, 51p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 118: Nicholson, T., Pearson, P. D., & Dykstra, R. *Effects of Embedded Anomalies and Oral Reading Errors on Children's Understanding of Stories*, March 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 169 524, 43p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 119: Anderson, R. C., Pichert, J. W., & Shirey, L. L. *Effects of the Reader's Schema at Different Points in Time*, April 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 169 523, 36p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 120: Canney, G., & Winograd, P. *Schemata for Reading and Reading Comprehension Performance*, April 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 169 520, 99p., PC-\$6.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 121: Hall, W. S., & Guthrie, L. F. *On the Dialect Question and Reading*, May 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 169 522, 32p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 122: McClure, E., Mason, J., & Barnitz, J. *Story Structure and Age Effects on Children's Ability to Sequence Stories*, May 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 170 732, 75p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 123: Kleiman, G. M., Winograd, P. N., & Humphrey, M. M. *Prosody and Children's Parsing of Sentences*, May 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 170 733, 28p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 124: Spiro, R. J. *Etiology of Reading Comprehension Style*, May 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 170 734, 21p., PC-\$1.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 125: Hall, W. S., & Tirre, W. C. *The Communicative Environment of Young Children: Social Class, Ethnic, and Situational Differences*, May 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 170 788, 30p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 126: Mason, J., & McCormick, C. *Testing the Development of Reading and Linguistic Awareness*, May 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 170 735, 50p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)

- No. 127: Brown, A. L., & Campione, J. C. *Permissible Inferences from the Outcome of Training Studies in Cognitive Development Research*, May 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 170 736, 34p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 128: Brown, A. L., & French, L. A. *The Zone of Potential Development: Implications for Intelligence Testing in the Year 2000*, May 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 170 737, 46p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 129: Nezworski, T., Stein, N. L., & Trabasso, T. *Story Structure Versus Content Effects on Children's Recall and Evaluative Inferences*, June 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 172 187, 49p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 130: Bruce, B. *Analysis of Interacting Plans as a Guide to the Understanding of Story Structure*, June 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 174 951, 43p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 131: Pearson, P. D., Raphael, T., TePaske, N., & Hyser, C. *The Function of Metaphor in Children's Recall of Expository Passages*, July 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 174 950, 41p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 132: Green, G. M. *Organization, Goals, and Comprehensibility in Narratives: Newswriting, a Case Study*, July 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 174 949, 66p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 133: Kleiman, G. M. *The Scope of Facilitation of Word Recognition from Single Word and Sentence Frame Contexts*, July 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 174 947, 61p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 134: McConkie, G. W., Hogaboam, T. W., Wolverton, G. S., Zola, D., & Lucas, P. A. *Toward the Use of Eye Movements in the Study of Language Processing*, August 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 174 968, 48p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 135: Schwartz, R. M. *Levels of Processing: The Strategic Demands of Reading Comprehension*, August 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 177 471, 45p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 136: Anderson, R. C., & Freebody, P. *Vocabulary Knowledge*, August 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 177 480, 71p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 137: Royer, J. M., Hastings, C. N., & Hook, C. *A Sentence Verification Technique for Measuring Reading Comprehension*, August 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 176 234, 34p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 138: Spiro, R. J. *Prior Knowledge and Story Processing: Integration, Selection, and Variation*, August 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 176 235, 41p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 139: Asher, S. R., & Wigfield, A. *Influence of Comparison Training on Children's Referential Communication*, August 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 177 493, 42p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 140: Alessi, S. M., Anderson, T. H., & Goetz, E. T. *An Investigation of Lookbacks During Studying*, September 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 177 494, 40p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 141: Cohen, P. R., & Perrault, C. R. *Elements of a Plan-Based Theory of Speech Acts*, September 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 177 497, 76p., PC-\$6.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 142: Grueneich, R., & Trabasso, T. *The Story as Social Environment: Children's Comprehension and Evaluation of Intentions and Consequences*, September 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 177 496, 56p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 143: Hermon, G. *On the Discourse Structure of Direct Quotation*, September 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 177 495, 46p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 144: Goetz, E. T., Anderson, R. C., & Schallert, D. L. *The Representation of Sentences in Memory*, September 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 177 527, 71p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 145: Baker, L. *Comprehension Monitoring: Identifying and Coping with Text Confusions*, September 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 177 525, 62p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 146: Hall, W. S., & Nagy, W. E. *Theoretical Issues in the Investigation of Words of Internal Report*, October 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 177 526, 108p., PC-\$7.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 147: Stein, N. L., & Goldman, S. *Children's Knowledge about Social Situations: From Causes to Consequences*, October 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 177 524, 54p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 148: Hall, W. S., & Guthrie, L. F. *Cultural and Situational Variation in Language Function and Use: Methods and Procedures for Research*, October 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 179 944, 49p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 149: Pichert, J. W. *Sensitivity to What is Important in Prose*, November 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 179 946, 64p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)

- No. 150: Dunn, B. R., Mathews, S. R., II, & Bieger, G. *Individual Differences in the Recall of Lower-Level Textual Information*, December 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 181 448, 37p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 151: Gentner, D. *Verb Semantic Structures in Memory for Sentences: Evidence for Componential Representation*, December 1979. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 181 424, 75p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 152: Tierney, R. J., & Mosenthal, J. *Discourse Comprehension and Production: Analyzing Text Structure and Cohesion*, January 1980. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 179 945, 84p., PC-\$6.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 153: Winograd, P., & Johnston, P. *Comprehension Monitoring and the Error Detection Paradigm*, January 1980. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 181 425, 57p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 154: Ortony, A. *Understanding Metaphors*, January 1980. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 181 426, 52p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 155: Anderson, T. H., & Armbruster, B. B. *Studying*, January 1980. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 181 427, 48p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 156: Brown, A. L., & Campione, J. C. *Inducing Flexible Thinking: The Problem of Access*, January 1980. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 181 428, 44p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 157: Trabasso, T. *On the Making of Inferences During Reading and Their Assessment*, January 1980. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 181 429, 38p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 158: McClure, E., & Steffensen, M. S. *A Study of the Use of Conjunctions across Grades and Ethnic Groups*, January 1980. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 182 688, 43p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 159: Iran-Nejad, A. *The Schema: A Structural or a Functional Pattern*, February 1980. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 181 449, 46p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 160: Armbruster, B. B., & Anderson, T. H. *The Effect of Mapping on the Free Recall of Expository Text*, February 1980. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 182 735, 49p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 161: Hall, W. S., & Dore, J. *Lexical Sharing in Mother-Child Interaction*, March 1980. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 184 066, 39p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 162: Davison, A., Kantor, R. N., Hannah, J., Hermon, G., Lutz, R., Salzillo, R. *Limitations of Readability Formulas in Guiding Adaptations of Texts*, March 1980. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 184 090, 157p., PC-\$10.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 163: Linn, R. L., Levine, M. V., Hastings, C. N., & Wardrop, J. L. *An Investigation of Item Bias in a Test of Reading Comprehension*, March 1980. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 184 091, 97p., PC-\$6.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 164: Seidenberg, M. S., Tanenhaus, M. K., & Leirhan, J. M. *The Time Course of Lexical Ambiguity Resolution in Context*, March 1980. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 184 092, 58p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 165: Brown, A. L. *Learning and Development: The Problems of Compatibility, Access, and Induction*, March 1980. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 184 093, 76p., PC-\$6.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 166: Hansen, J., & Pearson, P. D. *The Effects of Inference Training and Practice on Young Children's Comprehension*, April 1980. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 186 839, 53p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 167: Straker, D. Y. *Situational Variables in Language Use*, April 1980. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 185 619, 49p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 168: Green, G. M., Kantor, R. N., Morgan, J. L., Stein, N. L., Hermon, G., Salzillo, R., Sellner, M. B., Bruce, B. C., Gentner, D., & Webber, B. L. *Problems and Techniques of Text Analysis*, April 1980. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 185 513, 173p., PC-\$10.82, MF-\$83)
- No. 169: Green, G. M., Kantor, R. N., Morgan, J. L., Stein, N. L., Hermon, G., Salzillo, R., & Sellner, M. B. *Analysis of Babar Loses His Crown*, April 1980. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 185 514, 89p., PC-\$6.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 170: Green, G. M., Kantor, R. N., Morgan, J. L., Stein, N. L., Hermon, G., Salzillo, R., & Sellner, M. B. *Analysis of "The Wonderful Desert"*, April 1980. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 185 515, 47p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$83)
- No. 171: Zehler, A. M., & Brewer, W. F. *Acquisition of the Article System in English*, May 1980. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 186 907, 51p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$83)

- No. 172: Reynolds, R. E., & Ortony, A. *Some Issues in the Measurement of Children's Comprehension of Metaphorical Language*, May 1980. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 185 542, 42p., PC-\$3.32, MF-\$.83)
- No. 173: Davison, A. *Linguistics and the Measurement of Syntactic Complexity: The Case of Raising*, May 1980. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 186 848, 60p., PC-\$4.82, MF-\$.83)
- No. 174: Tirre, W. C., Freebody, P., & Kaufman, K. *Achievement Outcomes of Two Reading Programs: An Instance of Aptitude-Treatment Interaction*, June 1980.
- No. 175: Asher, S. R., & Wigfield, A. *Training Referential Communication Skills*, July 1980.
- No. 176: Tanenhaus, M. K., & Seidenberg, M. S. *Discourse Context and Sentence Perception*, July, 1980.
- No. 177: Hall, W. S., Linn, R. L., & Nagy, W. E. *Spoken Words*, August 1980.
- No. 178: Tanenhaus, M. K., Flanigan, H., & Seidenberg, M. S. *Orthographic and Phonological Activation in Auditory and Visual Word Recognition*, August 1980.
- No. 179: Green, G. M. *Linguistics and the Pragmatics of Language Use: What You Know When You Know a Language . . . and What Else You Know*, August 1980.
- No. 180: Steffensen, M. S., & Guthrie, L. F. *Effect of Situation on the Verbalization of Black Inner-City Children*, September 1980.
- No. 181: Green, G. M., & Laff, M. O. *Five-Year-Olds' Recognition of Authorship by Literary Style*, September 1980.
- No. 182: Collins, A., & Smith, E. E. *Teaching the Process of Reading Comprehension*, September 1980.
- No. 183: Reynolds, R. E., & Anderson, R. C. *Influence of Questions on the Allocation of Attention during Reading*, October 1980.
- No. 184: Iran-Nejad, A., Ortony, A., & Rittenhouse, R. K. *The Comprehension of Metaphorical Uses of English by Deaf Children*, October 1980.
- No. 185: Smith, E. E. *Organization of Factual Knowledge*, October 1980.
- No. 186: Hayes, D. A., & Tierney, R. J. *Increasing Background Knowledge through Analogy: Its Effects upon Comprehension and Learning*, October 1980.
- No. 187: Tierney, R. J., & Cunningham, J. W. *Research on Teaching Reading Comprehension*, November 1980.
- No. 188: Baker, L., & Brown, A. L. *Metacognitive Skills and Reading*, November 1980.
- No. 189: Brown, A. L., Campione, J. C., & Day, J. D. *Learning to Learn: On Training Students to Learn from Texts*, November 1980.
- No. 190: Raphael, T. E., Myers, A. C., Freebody, P., Tirre, W. C., & Fritz, M. *Contrasting the Effects of Some Text Variables on Comprehension and Ratings of Comprehensibility*, December 1980.
- No. 191: Spiro, R. J. *Schema Theory and Reading Comprehension: New Directions*, December 1980.
- No. 192: Adams, M. J. *What Good is Orthographic Redundancy?*, December 1980.
- No. 193: McConkie, G. W. *Evaluating and Reporting Data Quality in Eye Movement Research*, December 1980.
- No. 194: McConkie, G. W., & Zola, D. *Language Constraints and the Functional Stimulus in Reading*, December 1980.
- No. 195: Spiro, R. J., & Taylor, B. M. *On Investigating Children's Transition from Narrative to Expository Discourse: The Multidimensional Nature of Psychological Text Classification*, December 1980.